

The ESTATE PLANNER

SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 2015



A TRU BALANCES INTERESTS OF CURRENT, FUTURE BENEFICIARIES

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

Special estate planning is
necessary if you're a non-U.S. citizen

TENANCY-IN-COMMON: A VERSATILE ESTATE PLANNING TOOL

ESTATE PLANNING RED FLAG

Your plan doesn't provide
for items of sentimental value



Cleveland ■ Columbus ■ Beachwood

The Tower At Erieview
1301 East 9th Street, Suite 1900
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1862
tel 216.241.6602 • fax 216.621.8369

10 West Broad Street, Suite 2400
Columbus, Ohio 43215-3469
tel 614.280.0200 • fax 614.280.0204

24100 Chagrin Boulevard
Beachwood, Ohio 44122-5535
tel 216.241.6602 • fax 216.621.8369

www.westonhurd.com

A TRU BALANCES INTERESTS OF CURRENT, FUTURE BENEFICIARIES

Trusts often create conflicts between current beneficiaries, who receive the income that the trust generates, and remainder beneficiaries, who receive what's left at the end of the trust's term. Income-producing investment strategies favor the current beneficiaries, while growth strategies favor the remainder beneficiaries. A total return unitrust (TRU) can better balance both current and remainder beneficiaries' interests.

THE TRUSTEE'S DILEMMA

When a trust is designed to provide benefits for two generations of beneficiaries, it presents a difficult challenge for the trustee. Consider this example: Adam's will establishes a trust that pays all of its income to his wife, Kristen, for life, and then divides the trust assets equally among his three children from his first marriage. The trust names Adam's friend, Roger, as trustee. Kristen outlives Adam by 10 years.

Roger has a fiduciary duty to act in the best interests of all the beneficiaries, but traditional trust design makes it difficult for him to be impartial. Suppose

Adam leaves \$2 million to the trust. In order to provide Kristen with a steady income stream, Roger places the trust assets in fixed-income investments that generate a 5% return. Kristen receives income of \$100,000 per year, and when she dies the trust's principal — still \$2 million — is distributed to Adam's children. Not a bad inheritance, but its value has been eroded by 10 years of inflation.

When a trust is designed to provide benefits for two generations of beneficiaries, it presents a difficult challenge for the trustee.

Suppose, instead, that Roger invests the trust assets in growth stocks that earn a 9% annual return.

Ten years later, the trust's value has appreciated to more than \$4.7 million.

That's good news for Adam's children, but this approach generates little or no income for Kristen.

In an effort to make everyone happy, Roger comes up with a compromise: He invests half of the assets in growth stocks and the other half in fixed-income vehicles. The \$1 million in fixed-income investments generates \$50,000 per year for Kristen,



and at the end of the trust term the principal is worth nearly \$3.4 million.

A BETTER APPROACH?

The advantage of a TRU is that it frees the trustee to employ investment strategies that maximize growth (total return) for the remainder beneficiaries without depriving current beneficiaries of income. Rather than pay out its income to the current beneficiary, a TRU pays out a fixed percentage (typically between 3% and 5%) of the trust's value, recalculated annually, regardless of the trust's earnings.

Going back to our previous example, suppose Adam's trust is designed as a TRU that makes an annual payout to Kristen equal to 3.5% of the trust's value, recalculated annually. Roger, relieved of the duty to generate income for Kristen, invests all of the trust assets in a diversified portfolio of growth stocks that yield a 9% annual return. Kristen's payments from the trust start at \$70,000 and grow steadily over the trust's term, reaching more than \$113,000 by year 10.

At the same time, the value of the trust principal grows to more than \$3.4 million, which is distributed to Adam's children at the end of year 10. Thus, the current beneficiary and the remainder beneficiaries are better off with a TRU than they would have been under the compromise approach described earlier.

CAREFUL PLANNING REQUIRED

If you're considering implementing a TRU, it's important to plan carefully. Ask a financial advisor to project the benefits your beneficiaries will enjoy under various scenarios, including different payout rates, investment strategies and market conditions. Keep in mind that, for a TRU to be effective, it must produce returns that outperform the payout rate, so don't set the rate too high.

Also, be sure to investigate your state's trust laws. Some states disallow TRUs (although it may be

Can you convert an existing trust into a TRU?

If you're concerned that an existing, irrevocable, income-only trust may be unfair to certain beneficiaries, it may be possible to convert it into a TRU. In order to do so, however, such a conversion must be permitted by applicable state law.

A recent IRS private letter ruling clarifies that converting a trust into a TRU according to state law shouldn't have any negative tax implications. It doesn't cause the trust to lose its grandfathered status for generation-skipping transfer (GST) tax purposes. (GST tax doesn't apply to irrevocable trusts in existence on Sept. 25, 1985, so long as no additions, actual or constructive, are made to the trust after that date.)

The ruling also states that switching from one method of determining trust income to another, according to state law, doesn't result in any taxable gifts or income recognition events.

possible to achieve similar benefits if the trustee has the authority to make "equitable adjustments" to income and principal). Also, many states establish payout rates (or ranges of permissible rates) for TRUs, so your flexibility in designing a TRU may be limited.

Finally, if a trust is required to pay out all of its income to a current beneficiary, be sure that unitrust payouts will satisfy the definition of "income" under applicable state and federal law.

MANY HAPPY RETURNS

If you're setting up a trust for two or more generations of beneficiaries, consider a TRU. Designed properly, it allows the trustee to maximize benefits for both current and future beneficiaries. ❀

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

SPECIAL ESTATE PLANNING IS NECESSARY IF YOU'RE A NON-U.S. CITIZEN

Juanita is a citizen of the United States; however, her spouse, Esteban, is a U.S. resident, not a U.S. citizen. Thus, not all of the estate planning strategies that Juanita has in place are available to him. Because Esteban isn't a U.S. citizen, he must consider additional planning because special rules apply to him.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN RESIDENT AND CITIZEN

If you're a U.S. resident, but not a citizen, you're treated similarly to a U.S. citizen by the IRS. You're subject to federal gift and estate taxes on your worldwide assets, but you also enjoy the benefits of the \$5.43 million exemption and the \$14,000 annual exclusion. And you can double the annual exclusion to \$28,000 through gift-splitting with your spouse, so long as your spouse is a U.S. citizen or resident. Special rules apply to the marital deduction, however, as will be discussed.

Residency is a complicated subject. IRS regulations define a U.S. resident for federal estate tax purposes as someone who had his or her *domicile* in the United States at the time of death. One acquires a domicile in a place by living there, even briefly, with a present intention of making that place a permanent home.

Whether you have your domicile in the United States depends on an analysis of several factors, including the relative time you spend in the United States and abroad, the locations and relative values of your residences and business interests, visa status, community ties, and the location of family members.

PLANNING FOR A NONRESIDENT ALIEN

If you're a nonresident alien — that is, if you're neither a U.S. citizen nor a U.S. resident — there's good news and bad news in regard to estate tax law. The good news is that you're subject to U.S. gift and estate taxes only on property that's "situated" in the United States. Also, you can take advantage of the \$14,000 annual exclusion (although you can't split gifts with your spouse).

The bad news is that your estate tax exemption drops from \$5.43 million to a miniscule \$60,000, so substantial U.S. property holdings can result in a big estate tax bill. Taxable property includes U.S. real estate as well as tangible personal property — such as cars, boats and artwork — located in the United States.

Determining the location of intangible property — such as stocks, bonds, partnership interests or other equity or debt interests — is more complicated. For example, if a nonresident alien makes a gift of stock in a U.S. corporation, the gift is exempt from U.S. gift tax. But a bequest of that same stock at death



is subject to estate tax. On the other hand, a gift of cash on deposit in a U.S. bank is subject to gift tax, while a bequest of the same cash would be exempt from estate tax.

Your estate planning advisor can help you determine which property is situated in the United States and explore strategies for minimizing your tax exposure. For example, it may be possible to avoid U.S. estate taxes by setting up a foreign corporation to hold U.S. property.

OPTIONS FOR MAKING TAX-FREE TRANSFERS

The unlimited marital deduction isn't available for gifts or bequests to noncitizens. However, there are certain options for making tax-free transfers to a noncitizen spouse. For example, you can use the transferor's \$5.43 million exemption (provided the

transferor is a U.S. citizen or resident). You can also make annual exclusion gifts. (Currently, the limit for gifts to a noncitizen spouse is \$147,000.) And last, you can bequeath assets to a qualified domestic trust, which contains provisions designed to ensure that the assets are ultimately taxed as part of the recipient's estate.

Know that the marital deduction *is* available for transfers *from* a noncitizen spouse *to* a citizen spouse.

WHAT ARE THE RIGHT STRATEGIES FOR YOU?

If you have a family situation similar to Juanita and Esteban's, where one spouse is a U.S. citizen and the other is a U.S. resident, traditional estate planning strategies may not be applicable. Your estate planning advisor can help you understand your options and identify strategies for minimizing your tax liability. ❖

TENANCY-IN-COMMON: A VERSATILE ESTATE PLANNING TOOL

If you hold significant real estate investments, tenancy-in-common (TIC) ownership can be a powerful, versatile estate planning tool. Let's take a closer look at a few common questions regarding this strategy.

WHAT IS TENANCY-IN-COMMON?

A TIC interest is an undivided fractional interest in property. Rather than splitting the property into separate parcels, each owner has the right to use and enjoy the entire property. An individual TIC can't sell or lease the underlying property, or take other actions with respect to the property

as a whole, without the other owners' consent. But each owner has the right to sell, mortgage or transfer his or her TIC interest. This includes the right to transfer the interest, either directly or in trust, to his or her heirs or other beneficiaries.

Someone who buys or inherits a TIC interest takes over the original owner's undivided fractional interest in the property, sharing ownership with the other tenants in common. Each TIC interest holder has a right of "partition." That is, in the event of a dispute among the co-owners over management of the property, an owner can petition a court to divide the property into separate parcels

or to force a sale and divide the proceeds among the co-owners.

HOW IS IT USED IN ESTATE PLANNING?

Here are a few of the ways TIC interests can be used to accomplish your estate planning goals.

Distributing your wealth. If real estate constitutes a significant portion of your estate, dividing it among your heirs can be a challenge. If you transfer real estate to your heirs — your children, for example — as joint tenants, their options for dealing with the property individually will be limited. What if one child wants to hold on to the real estate, but the other two want to cash out? Transferring TIC interests can avoid disputes by giving each heir the power to dispose of his or her interest without forcing a sale of the underlying property.

Someone who buys or inherits a TIC interest takes over the original owner's undivided fractional interest in the property, sharing ownership with the other tenants in common.

Reducing gift and estate taxes. Fractional interests generally are less marketable than whole interests. Plus, because an owner must



share management with several co-owners, they provide less control. As a result, TIC interests may enjoy valuation discounts for gift and estate tax purposes.

Equalizing estates. Historically, an important estate planning strategy for affluent married couples was to “equalize” their estates. In other words, if one spouse owned a disproportionate amount of the couple’s wealth, transferring assets to the “poorer” spouse could significantly reduce their estate tax bill. Why? Because if the poorer spouse died first, his or her exemption would be wasted. If the “richer” spouse’s estate exceeded his or her exemption amount, the excess would be exposed to estate taxes.

Higher exemption amounts and portability of exemptions have made estate equalization less important than it used to be. But if you and your spouse have wealth that substantially exceeds your combined exemptions (currently, \$10.86 million), equalization continues to provide a tax advantage.

One effective way to equalize your ownership of real estate is to convert it into TIC property and then transfer a TIC interest from one spouse to the other.

GET AN APPRAISAL

If you're considering using TIC interests as part of your estate plan, it's critical to obtain an appraisal

to support your valuation of these interests. Keep in mind that appraising a TIC interest is a two-step process: an appraisal of the real estate as a whole, followed by an appraisal of the fractional interest. In some cases, it may be desirable to use two appraisers: a real estate appraiser for the underlying property and a business valuation expert to quantify and support any valuation discounts you claim. ✿

ESTATE PLANNING RED FLAG

Your plan doesn't provide for items of sentimental value

If you're like most people, your estate plan focuses on high-value assets, such as real estate, business interests and investments. But don't overlook personal property. Items with relatively low monetary values — such as jewelry, antiques, artwork, collectibles, photographs and automobiles — can have significant sentimental value. And failure to plan for these items can lead to hurt feelings and even disputes among your heirs.

Ideally, you should provide for the disposition of personal property with specific bequests to specific recipients. But spelling out every gift in your will or revocable trust can be unwieldy and would require you to revise your estate planning documents every time you want to add or change a gift.

One attractive alternative is to create a "personal property memorandum," which provides instructions to your executor on the disposition of personal property not covered by your will or trust. You're free to change or add to the memorandum as you see fit, without the need to formally amend your will or trust. Personal property memoranda can be used for most tangible personal property, including automobiles in many states. But they can't be used to dispose of bank accounts, stocks, bonds or other financial instruments.

In most states, a personal property memorandum is legally binding so long as you refer to it in your will and meet certain other requirements. Even if you live in a state that doesn't recognize them, the memorandum will provide an effective vehicle for expressing your wishes and explaining the reasoning behind them, which can help prevent disputes.

If you'd like to use a personal property memorandum, be sure to check with your estate planning advisor to see whether it's recognized in your state and to find out what types of property it may cover.





Angela G. Carlin is the Co-Chair of Weston Hurd's Estate, Trust and Probate Practice Group. She focuses her practice on estate, trust and probate administration and litigation, and tax matters. Angela is the author of the Merrick-Rippner Probate Law publication which is the recognized authority in Ohio on probate law. She received the Nettie Cronise Lutes Award from the Ohio State Bar Association in 1996 as the Outstanding Woman Lawyer and for many years, she has been named as an *Ohio Super Lawyer* by *Law & Politics, Inc.* and a *Leading Lawyer* by *Inside Business Magazine*.



Karen A. Davey focuses her practice on estates, trust and probate administration. She also handles litigation in probate related matters, such as will contests, trust contests, and power-of-attorney disputes.



Jerrold L. Goldstein focuses his practice on estate planning, probate and corporate law. Jerry is also Co-Chair of Weston Hurd's Estate, Trust and Probate Practice Group. He represents clients in a wide variety of matters involving probate administration, probate litigation, estate and income tax compliance, wills and trusts, business formation, contract negotiations, and commercial real estate.



Rema A. Ina is an Associate with Weston Hurd LLP. She focuses her practice on matters involving employment, estate planning, insurance coverage and defense, and workers' compensation.



Gary W. Johnson advises clients on matters involving commercial litigation, business entities creation and maintenance, land use, construction law, zoning, estate planning and probate. Gary has been recognized as an *Ohio Super Lawyer* in the area of Business Litigation by *Law & Politics, Inc.*



Eugene (Gene) A. Kratus advises individuals in the areas of tax, business and estate planning and counsels privately-owned businesses and their owners on corporate, tax, mergers, acquisitions and business succession issues. His estate planning practice includes implementing various estate planning techniques, ranging from modest By-Pass Trusts to the implementation of sophisticated planning with family limited partnerships, family limited liability companies, charitable trusts and private foundations.



Ludgy A. LaRochelle is an Associate with Weston Hurd LLP. He counsels entrepreneurs, small businesses, and non-profit organizations, and individuals in matters involving commercial real estate, e-commerce and Internet law, employment, estate planning, intellectual property, mergers, acquisitions and divestitures, and regulatory compliance.



Samuel J. Lauricia III focuses his practice on tax planning, at both the Federal and state level, involving corporate, partnership, individual and gift tax issues, succession planning and general corporate transactions, contracts, mergers and acquisitions. Sam has been recognized as an *Ohio Rising Star* in the area of Taxation by *Law & Politics, Inc.*



Shawn W. Maestle is the Chair of Weston Hurd's Appellate section and a member of the firm's Litigation section. He focuses his practice in the areas of appellate, estate planning and probate litigation.



Teresa G. Santin is an Associate with Weston Hurd LLP. She focuses her practice on matters involving business, employment, estate planning, real estate, and white collar litigation.



Joseph B. Swartz focuses his practice on estate planning, estate administration, trust administration, and income tax for individuals, estates and trusts. Joe served as chair of the Ohio State Bar Association's Labor and Employment Law section for 2010-2012 and he has been recognized as an *Ohio Super Lawyer* for Labor and Employment by *Law & Politics, Inc.*

CARLIN COMMENTS

DOES OHIO RECOGNIZE "VIRTUAL ADOPTION"? – PART 2

BY ANGELA G. CARLIN

Unlike the trial court in *Sanders v. Riley*, the Georgia Supreme Court viewed the evidence in a light favorable to Shalanda Sanders ("Shalanda") in granting her claim to a portion of Clifford Riley's ("Clifford") estate since Clifford listed himself as her father on Shalanda's birth certificate after the agreement with her biological parents, Roy Warren ("Roy") and Corine Riley ("Corine") that Clifford would raise Shalanda (as was described by Roy in his affidavit filed in the proceeding which was corroborated by Corine), that Clifford visited the marital home and supported the three children, that Shalanda listed Clifford as her father in her wedding invitations, and coordinated and paid for Clifford's funeral listing herself as his daughter on his death certificate.

Upon these facts, the Georgia Supreme Court found sufficient evidence to support the existence of an unwritten agreement for Clifford to adopt Shalanda.

The trial court had held that Shalanda could not establish a virtual adoption because she could not demonstrate a "severance" of her parent-child relationship with her biological father, Roy. In reversing the trial court's decision, the Georgia Supreme Court held that the trial court failed to cite any authority to the effect that once a child's status has changed as part of a virtual adoption, the child can be "un-adopted" simply by developing a relationship later in life with a biological parent, just as a child who is legally adopted does not become un-adopted by developing a relationship later in life with a biological parent.

Had Clifford provided for Shalanda in a Will, she would not have had to establish her virtual adoption on the basis of an agreement, although not written, that Clifford would be her legal father.

Does Ohio recognize virtual adoption of a child resulting from an agreement between individuals as to the rearing of a child without any Court involvement as in the *Sanders v. Riley* case?

Adoption was unknown to common law. Statutes with mandatory procedures created valid adoption but required the exercise of judicial power vested in state courts. *In re Adoption of Peters*, 113 Ohio App. 173 177 NE 2d 541(1961). In Ohio statutory adoption provisions are provided in Ohio Revised Code Chapter 3107.

Notwithstanding the principle of statutory adoption, many states have recognized that when an individual who is legally competent to adopt a child enters into a valid and binding agreement to support a child who is not his natural child, and when there is consideration supporting the agreement such as part performance but not completing a statutory or legal adoption through court proceedings, the agreement is enforceable in equity to allow the child to take the position of a statutorily adopted child under certain circumstances. This doctrine permitting such a result is known as "virtual adoption" or "equitable adoption." Such results have been presented to the courts only after the death of the promisor (who dies without a Will naming such child as a beneficiary), and for the child to receive under the inheritance laws as if the adoption contract had been statutorily and legally performed. However, some courts have taken the view that adoption depends only on compliance with the adoption statutes and decline to recognize an "equitable" or "virtual" adoption based on the facts and not a court order.

If you have any questions about the topic of virtual adoption, please contact your Weston Hurd lawyer.